

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/718,963	11/21/2003	Joseph M. Starita	3994648-129161C	4418	
7:	590 01/25/2006		EXAM	INER	
Dinsmore & Shohl, LLP			DANIELS, M	DANIELS, MATTHEW J	
One South Mai	n Street,				
Suite 1300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Dayton Centre, OH 45402-2023			1732		

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		V				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/718,963	STARITA, JOSEPH M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew J. Daniels	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 No	ovember 2005.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,— ···	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

DETAILED ACTION

1. In the response received 9 November 2005, Claim 8 was amended and Claims 1-5 and 10 were cancelled. There are no new claims.

Election/Restrictions

2. Confirmation of the election of Claims 6-9 without traverse was received in the 9 November 2005 response.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Rejections set forth previously under this section are withdrawn in view of the amendment to Claim 8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dickhut (USPN 4718844). As to Claim 9, Dickhut teaches a method for efficiently removing heat during thermoforming comprising the steps of:

:

Art Unit: 1732

a) providing at least one vacuum port connected to a channel located on an external lateral surface of the mold that is axially concentric with a corrugation located on an internal lateral surface of the mold, the width of the channel corresponding approximately to the width of the corrugation (3:15-53);

- b) forming an air-tight manifold by providing a first cover on the external lateral surface (3:15-53 and Fig. 2, Items 22, 28, 42);
- c) providing a second cover that forms an outer circumferential duct between the channel and said second cover (4:1-23);
- d) connecting a source of high velocity cooling air to an opening in the second cover (4:1-23 and Fig. 1, Item 72); and
- e) forcing a turbulent flow of cooling air through the duct (4:1-23).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickhut (USPN 4718844). As to Claim 6, Dickhut teaches a method for delivering uniform vacuum pressure in the process of thermoforming a corrugated plastic pipe in a mold, comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 1732

a) providing at least one vacuum port (3:33-53) connected to a channel located on an external lateral surface of the mold (Fig. 3, Item 28), the channel being axially concentric with a corrugation located on an internal lateral surface of the mold (Fig. 3, Item 34), the width of the channel corresponding approximately to the width of the corrugation (Fig. 3, Item 34); b) forming an air-tight manifold by providing an outer cover on the external lateral surface (See Fig. 2, Items 22, 28, 42), the manifold being ported to a plurality of slits formed in the corrugation in the mold (Fig. 3, Item 28);

- c) connecting vacuum source to said at least one vacuum port (3:33-53); and
- d) exerting an essentially uniform negative pressure on each one of the plurality of slits.

Dickhut appears to be silent to the claimed slots. However, the Examiner submits that the claimed slots are an apparatus limitation which does not materially affect the claimed method because Dickhut clearly applies vacuum through the slits (Fig. 3, Item 28), which would cause the plastic to conform to the corrugation in the same way as claimed in the instant application. The claimed *method* therefore appears to be prima facie obvious over Dickhut. As to Claim 7, each of Dickhut's openings or slits has the same width (Fig. 3, Item 34 or 28). As to Claim 8, the Examiner submits that the particular pressure ratio claimed would not produce a materially different process or result than the method of Dickhut because Dickhut also applies vacuum through slits, and would cause the plastic to conform to the corrugations in the same way as that in the instant application. Therefore the claimed method is prima facie obvious over Dickhut's teaching of applying vacuum to the slits to cause the plastic to conform to the corrugations.

Art Unit: 1732

6. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dickhut (USPN 5059109). As to Claim 6, Dickhut teaches a method for delivering uniform vacuum pressure in the process of thermoforming a corrugated plastic pipe in a mold, comprising the steps of:

- a) providing at least one vacuum port (Fig. 2, Item 42) connected to a channel located on an external lateral surface of the mold (Fig. 4, Item 40), the channel being axially concentric with a corrugation located on an internal lateral surface of the mold (Fig. 4, Item 40), the width of the channel corresponding approximately to the width of the corrugation (Fig. 4, Item 40);
 b) forming an air-tight manifold by providing an outer cover on the external lateral surface (See Fig. 4, portions radially outside of Item 40), the manifold being ported to a plurality of slits formed in the corrugation through a plurality of slots in the mold (Fig. 4, Items 20 and 34);
 c) connecting vacuum source to said at least one vacuum port (Fig. 1, Item 46); and
 d) exerting an essentially uniform negative pressure on each one of the plurality of slots (inherent in that each slots appears to have the same cross sectional shape and area).
- Dickhut appears to be silent to the slots having a large cross-sectional area relative to the aggregate area of the slits and exerting negative pressure such that the change in negative pressure across the slots is small relative to the change in negative pressure across the slits. However, the Examiner submits that by the obvious similarity between the apparatus of Dickhut and the instant apparatus, that these limitations would be obvious. However, the Examiner additionally submits that these apparatus limitations would not distinguish the instant apparatus from that of Dickhut because Dickhut appears to disclose the same process, namely applying vacuum through the slots and slits (Fig. 4, Items 20 and 34), which would obviously cause the

plastic to conform to the corrugation in the same way as claimed in the instant application. As to Claim 7, each of Dickhut's openings or slits has the same width (Fig. 3, Item 18). As to Claim 8, the Examiner submits that the particular pressure ratio claimed would not produce a materially different process or result than the method of Dickhut because Dickhut also applies vacuum through slits, and would cause the plastic to conform to the corrugations in the same way as that in the instant application. Therefore the claimed method is prima facie obvious over Dickhut's teaching of applying vacuum to the slits to cause the plastic to conform to the corrugations.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-6, filed 9 November 2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 6, 7, and 9 under 35 USC 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made under 35 USC 103(a) above in view of Dickhut (USPN 4718844) and Dickhut (USPN 5059109).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Daniels whose telephone number is (571) 272-2450.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJD 1/19/06

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI

ON PITENT EXAMINER